As befits a hematologic class of disorders, the word “porphyria” derives from the Greek word *porphuros*, which means red or purple (1). The porphyrias (Table 1 and Table 2) are a group of rare metabolic disorders arising from reduced activity of any of the enzymes in the heme biosynthetic pathway. The disorders may be either acquired (for instance, through a toxin acting on one of the enzymes) or inherited through a genetic defect in a gene encoding these enzymes. These deficiencies disrupt normal heme production, with symptoms especially prominent when increased heme is required. Porphyrin precursors, overproduced in response to synthetic pathway blockages, accumulate in the body (1–31), cause diverse pathologic changes, and become the basis for diagnostic tests.

In this review we focus on the two neuroporphyrias (acute intermittent porphyria and plumboporphyria) and the two neurocutaneous porphyrias (hereditary coproporphyria and variegate porphyria). These four entities, of all the porphyrias, are the only ones with neuropsychiatric manifestations. We use a case of variegate porphyria to illustrate how the manifestations may be broad, the relevance in neuropsychiatric disorders overlooked, and the diagnosis unsuspected. Given the variable and nonspecific clinical features, we emphasize the need to carefully interpret excretion patterns and concentrations of porphyrin precursors in urine and stool to make a specific diagnosis. The workup of any potential case needs to be individualized, taking into consideration both the history and the symptoms at the time of evaluation. We discuss the complexities of making a diagnosis of porphyria. Without carefully gathering a history and having a clear understanding of what laboratory tests can and cannot show, making the diagnosis will be delayed, possibly resulting in increased morbidity and use of medical and financial resources. When evaluating complicated neuropsychiatric cases of uncertain cause, we advocate maintaining a high degree of awareness for porphyria.

Case Presentation

Mr. A, a 47-year-old, married, male florist with no significant previous medical or psychiatric history, presented to a local neurologist with a 3-month history of symptoms of apparent neurologic origin. He had had progressive loss of memory and concentration, spells of confusion, and indeterminate jerking spasms of the upper extremities and facial muscles that lasted only seconds but occurred up to 30 times per day. The neurologic examination was normal; the neurologist did not make a specific diagnosis but did prescribe nefazodone, an antidepressant.

A few weeks later, psychiatric symptoms developed that were serious enough to warrant admission to a local hospital. Mr. A had paranoid delusions and auditory hallucinations. He heard animals in his room and thought the police wanted to harm him. He had hyponatremia, so before his transfer to the psychiatric ward, an internist evaluated him but offered no specific explanation for the hyponatremia. A psychiatric mood disorder was diagnosed, and Mr. A was prescribed sertraline, valproic acid, and perphenazine and dismissed after 5 days. Four days later, he was rehospitalized, still psychotic and hyponatremic. This time the hyponatremia was attributed to psychogenic polydipsia. Venlafaxine was substituted for sertraline, and his dismissal instructions recommended gradually discontinuing valproic acid and perphenazine.

Mr. A continued to display a peculiar mixture of neurologic, psychiatric, and medical symptoms. Spells of confusion and jerking spasms became longer and more frequent. Hyponatremia recurred several times, and his cognitive abilities worsened. Personality changes developed along with mood lability, intermittent suicidal ideation, and behavioral dyscontrol. At times he withdrew to his home, exhibiting anhedonia and psychomotor slowness. At other times he acted indecisive, irritable, physically agitated, and verbally aggressive. He showed disinhibited and inappropriate behavior (such as talking to strangers). He continued to have frequent ego-dystonic, increasingly complex, delusional attacks, although they lasted only minutes. He thought the police were after him for stealing from his workplace, and he declared in the absence of any evidence that he had been associating with prostitutes, both male and female, and that his wife had been trying to steal his money. The formerly isolated physical finding of hyponatremia was now accompanied by increased thirst and urination, nausea, fluctuating weight, occasional dizziness, shortness of breath, dysphagia, and decreased sexual drive and performance. Even so, his treating physicians continued to regard his entire syndrome as emanating from a functional psychiatric disorder.

Five months into his illness, during an amnestic spell, Mr. A had a car accident. His family wondered whether the accident represented an attempt at suicide. Again, he was admitted to a psychiatry service, the venlafaxine regimen was changed back to sertraline, and olanzapine

“The manifestations may be broad, the relevance in neuropsychiatric disorders overlooked, and the diagnosis unsuspected.”
TABLE 1. Features of Acute Porphyrias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Plumboporphyria</th>
<th>Acute Intermittent Porphyria</th>
<th>Variegate Porphyria</th>
<th>Hereditary Coproporphyria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other names</td>
<td>Doss porphyria; δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydrase deficiency</td>
<td>Type II-A; Swedish porphyria; the little imitator</td>
<td>Type II-B; South African genetic porphyria; mixed acute intermittent porphyria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ or system Category</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Neuroporphyria</td>
<td>Neuroerythropoietic porphobilinogen decarboxylase (also called hydroxymethylbilane synthase and uroporphyrinogen I synthase)</td>
<td>Neurocutaneous Protoporphyrinogen oxidase</td>
<td>Neurocutaneous Protoporphyrinogen oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme deficiency</td>
<td>δ-Aminolevulinic acid dehydrase (also called porphobilinogen synthase)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic expression</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>Any age</td>
<td>Adulthood</td>
<td>Adulthood</td>
<td>Adulthood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher incidence in women than in men</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Data from Crimlisk (1), Ashley (2), Jensen et al. (3), Thadani et al. (4), and Hift et al. (5).

TABLE 2. Features of Chronic Porphyrias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Porphyria Cutanea Tarda</th>
<th>Congenital Erythropoietic Porphyria</th>
<th>Erythropoietic Hepatoerythropoietic Porphyria</th>
<th>Harderoporphyia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other names</td>
<td>Type I, sporadic, or acquired (75%-90% of porphyria cutanea tarda); type II, inherited, or familial (10%-25% of porphyria cutanea tarda)</td>
<td>Gunther disease; congenital photosensitive porphyria</td>
<td>Type I; protoporphyrin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ or system Category</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Erythropoietic/ hematopoietic Cutaneous</td>
<td>Erythropoietic/liver</td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase (heterozygous deficiency)</td>
<td>Uroporphyrinogen synthase</td>
<td>Uroporphyrinogen dehydrogenase (homozygous deficiency)</td>
<td>Ckoproporphyrin oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme deficiency</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic expression</td>
<td>Type I: sporadic; type II: autosomal dominant</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive Childhood</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive Childhood</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive Childhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>Type I: adult; type II: childhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Data from Crimlisk (1), Ashley (2), Jensen et al. (3), Thadani et al. (4), and Hift et al. (5).

was added. A month later he was hospitalized again for recurrence of hyponatremia.

Seven months after his initial presentation, Mr. A was referred to our institution’s outpatient neurology clinic. Although results of the neurologic examination were again normal, the neurologists thought that something was wrong. The quest for an explanation led to multiple hospitalizations on various services, including the inpatient epilepsy unit for extended video-EEG monitoring, the psychiatry unit for the Intensive Outpatient Program, and both inpatient neurology and inpatient psychiatry services. Subspecialists who offered opinions included behavioral neurologists, pulmonologists, endocrinologists, hematologists, geneticists, general internists, and psychologists. Numerous esoteric diagnoses were entertained, multiple procedures and tests ordered, and diverse medications prescribed without effect. Throughout this exhaustive evaluation, a functional psychiatric disorder continued to be considered the most likely explanation for Mr. A’s problem.

Although he scored 30 of 30 on the Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination, Mr. A showed marked psychomotor slowness. More formal neurocognitive testing proved challenging, hindered by his spells and slowness. A neuropsychologist was able to determine, however, that the patient’s present cognitive status was lower than expected on the basis of his education and employment and that he had unexplained low scores for confrontation naming, semantic fluency, learning and memory skills, and the Adult Verbal Learning Test.

Later in Mr. A’s evaluation, an autoimmune disorder was suspected (results were positive for antinuclear antibody at 1:40 and for IgG phospholipid antibody at 1:64), but a 4-week trial of prednisone therapy that was instituted did not result in improvement. Although intriguing, nonspecific cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings and an EEG tracing abnormality did not suggest a particular diagnosis. Prolonged video-EEG monitoring showed neither EEG discharge abnormalities nor changes in consciousness during multiple behavioral spells that were videotaped. A rapid progressive frontotemporal degenerative process, as well as Kuf’s disease and acute porphyria, were considered. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), electromyography (EMG), and 24-hour collection for urine and stool porphyrins were performed. Brain biopsy was contemplated but not performed. The SPECT scan showed abnormal cerebral cortical perfusion with moderate patchy reduction of flow in the temporal and postparietal lobes and more severe reduction in the superior occipital regions. EMG showed mildly slowed conduction velocities, suggestive of a mild, chronic, slowly progressive, dependent peripheral neuropathy.
Finally, whereas concentrations of urine porphyrins in Mr. A were not suggestive of an acute porphoryic attack (Table 3), increased stool porphyrin concentrations were consistent with mild expression of variegate porphyria (Table 4).

For Mr. A, acute porphyria was the only diagnosis that could encompass the protean clinical picture. A hematologist and a geneticist took over the patient's care. The patient's ineffective psychiatric medications were discontinued. Both patient and family were given supportive therapy and counseling about measures to prevent acute attacks, including proper diet and hydration and potential precipitants of acute attacks.

A specific precipitating factor was not identified for Mr. A, but we noted that his illness had emerged during a period of extreme job stress, complicated by excessive smoking and caffeine intake. We advised his not returning to work at the florist shop because of the pressure. We warned him that smoking 2 to 3 packs of cigarettes and drinking 8 to 10 cups of coffee per day could exacerbate his symptoms. We considered the possibility that chemicals used in the floral shop could be adding to his troubles, although this seemed unlikely, given his several years of working in the shop.

Genetic testing was considered in order to confirm the diagnosis, but a search for a laboratory that would analyze the samples was unsuccessful. Family counseling, including genetic counseling, was provided to this patient and his two children. Because variegate porphyria is autosomal dominant, a 50% possibility exists for the genetic defect to occur in Mr. A's offspring (6). In the absence of symptoms in the children, however, porphyrin testing was not recommended because even if the offspring had inherited the defect, the chance of overt expression was low.

After dismissal from our medical center, Mr. A and his wife were bitter and angry about the emotional and financial strains of the disease. Therefore, they refused follow-up evaluation or further testing.

**Discussion**

Why are the porphyrias difficult to understand? Why is the literature about this illness confusing? First, each porphyria has been known by several names or classified from various perspectives (on the basis of the initial presentation, where the defective synthesis site is, or what the clinical manifestations are). Second, the defective enzyme may have been given more than one name (Table 1 and Table 2). Third, experts disagree about when to suspect acute porphyria, what to do to diagnose it, and how to interpret the results of diagnostic tests.

**General Background**

A specific enzyme catalyzes each step of the heme biosynthetic pathway (Figure 1). Eight enzymes are involved in the synthesis of heme and, except for the first enzyme (δ-aminolevulinate synthase), low activity of any of these can disrupt the normal pathway, especially when a stimulus occurs to increase heme production. The suboptimal activity of any one of these seven enzymes, because of either a defective gene or a toxic chemical effect, results in the overproduction and accumulation of the preceding intermediates, known as porphyrins or porphyrin precursors (1–31). Although these intermediates have no known useful physiologic function, they act as highly reactive oxidants (3, 31), and deficiencies of the seven enzymes are linked to specific types of porphyrin (3, 4, 29, 31).

The term “acute porphories” subsumes both neuroporphyrinas (acute intermittent porphoria and plumporphoria) and neurocutaneous porphyrinas (hereditary co- porphoria and variegate porphyria) (1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 19, 21, 33). Although all subtypes are rare, acute intermittent porphyria is the most common (1, 4, 5, 7, 20, 21, 31, 34–36). All four are characterized by recurrent acute attacks (1, 4, 6, 7), and their clinical manifestations are variable (1, 4, 34, 37). Symptoms may vary considerably in the same patient during different episodes, as well as among patients with the same porpohria subtype. Because the clinical course can vary from acute, self-limiting attacks to attacks that result in chronic or progressive deficits (1, 37), the attacks may mimic many other psychiatric or medical disorders, making the potential for misdiagnosis great (1, 4, 16, 34, 35, 37, 38).

**TABLE 3. Urine Porphyrin Concentrations in a 47-Year-Old Man With Variegate Porphyria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porphyrin</th>
<th>Reference Range (per 24 hours)</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uroporphyrin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(octacarboxylporphyrin)</td>
<td>0–46 µg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptacarboxylporphyrin</td>
<td>0–13 µg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacarboxylporphyrin</td>
<td>0–5 µg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentacarboxylporphyrin</td>
<td>0–4 µg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coproporphyrin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(tetracarboxylporphyrin)</td>
<td>0–96 µg</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphobilinogen</td>
<td>0–1.5 mg</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4. Stool Porphyrin Concentrations in a 47-Year-Old Man With Variegate Porphyria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porphyrin</th>
<th>Reference Range (µg/24 hours)</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uroporphyrin I</td>
<td>&lt;120 µg</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uroporphyrin III</td>
<td>&lt;50 µg</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptacarboxylporphyrin I</td>
<td>&lt;40 µg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptacarboxylporphyrin II</td>
<td>&lt;40 µg</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoheptacarboxylporphyrins</td>
<td>&lt;30 µg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacarboxylporphyrin I</td>
<td>&lt;10 µg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacarboxylporphyrin II</td>
<td>&lt;10 µg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoheptacarboxylporphyrins</td>
<td>&lt;10 µg</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentacarboxylporphyrin I</td>
<td>&lt;20 µg</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentacarboxylporphyrin II</td>
<td>&lt;20 µg</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopentacarboxylporphyrins</td>
<td>&lt;80 µg</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coproporphyrin I</td>
<td>&lt;500 µg</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coproporphyrin III</td>
<td>&lt;400 µg</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocoproporphyrins</td>
<td>&lt;200 µg</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protoporphyrin</td>
<td>&lt;1,500 µg</td>
<td>5,662</td>
<td>1,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoporphyrin</td>
<td>&lt;220 µg</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deuteroporphyrin</td>
<td>&lt;1,200 µg</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>1,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiprotodeuteroporphyrin</td>
<td>&lt;1,500 µg</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>2,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoporphyrin</td>
<td>&lt;90 µg</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dicarboxylporphyrins</td>
<td>&lt;1,500 µg</td>
<td>15,306</td>
<td>4,631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coproporphyrin III/copro- | ratio

<1.20                  | 0.79      | 0.74      |
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The four acute porphyrias are clinically indistinguishable during acute attacks (1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 15, 17, 34, 39–42), particularly because patients with variegate porphyria and hereditary coproporphyria may not exhibit dermatologic changes (1, 4, 6, 7, 34, 37, 40, 43). Skin lesions accompany acute attacks in about one-half of the patients with variegate porphyria and in about one-third of the patients with hereditary coproporphyria (4, 40, 42). The variability of the clinical course, the lack of understanding of an appropriate diagnostic process, and the lack of a universally accepted standard for test result interpretation add to the difficulty of making a diagnosis (1, 37).

**Clinical Manifestations**

Reported clinical manifestations of acute porphyria include neuropsychiatric symptoms and clinical signs (hysteria, anxiety, depression, phobias, psychosis, agitation, delirium, restlessness, seizures, neuropathy, sensory involvement, and hyporeflexia), autonomic instability (hypertension, tachycardia, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and constipation), dehydration and electrolyte imbalance (hyponatremia, hypokalemia, and hypocalcemia), and dermatologic features (photosensitivity, skin fragility, hypertrichosis, and hyperpigmentation) (1–8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 20, 22, 28–31, 34–36, 38, 39, 43–50).

Abdominal pain, peripheral neuropathy, and changes in mental status are the classic triad of an acute attack (37). Severe abdominal pain is the most commonly reported presenting symptom during acute attacks (4, 7, 8, 18, 31, 34, 51). A clinical presentation without abdominal pain is unusual in acute porphyria, and some authors suggest that initial screening tests should be limited to patients with this symptom (14, 52). However, such a criterion would have excluded our patient. Thus, we contend that the best approach is to make a careful, individualized assessment rather than to rely on dogmatic restrictions about which patients can be considered to have the disorder.

The peripheral neuropathy tends to be progressive and involves motor and sensory nerves. Muscular weakness can progress to quadriplegia and respiratory paralysis and arrest, which may resemble Guillain-Barré syndrome. Unlike in Guillain-Barré syndrome, however, results of CSF analysis in acute porphyria are usually normal (1, 3–6, 15, 22, 34, 39), as was the case in multiple CSF analyses for our patient.

Among patients with acute porphyria, 20%–58% have neuropsychiatric symptoms during acute exacerbations (34, 35, 37). Neuropsychiatric symptoms were predominant in our patient’s clinical presentation. The pathogenesis of the neuropsychiatric manifestations remains unclear and poorly understood (1, 4, 5, 16, 29, 31, 39, 44, 45), but multiple hypotheses have been proposed, including CNS metabolic abnormalities, ischemia, demyelination, oxidative stress, free radical damage (30), aminoolevulinic acid direct neurotoxicity, and nervous tissue heme deficiency (1, 3, 4, 29, 31, 39, 44, 45). Postmortem CNS pathologic findings have not correlated with antemortem clinical features (1, 39, 45). One group of authors claimed that psychiatric disturbances and confusion are not a significant part of the acute attack; they cite no specific data to support their claim, however (5).

Our patient presented repeatedly with hyponatremia. Marked hyponatremia frequently complicates acute porphyrias (4, 5, 7, 31, 34, 45). Its mechanism is not fully understood, but the syndrome of inappropriate secretion of antidiuretic hormone (4, 7, 34, 45), with resultant dehydration (3, 4), nephrotoxicity (4), excess renal sodium excretion, and damage to the supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus, has been implicated in its pathogenesis (7). Hyponatremia may precipitate convulsions (5), but epilepsy is rarely the only presenting symptom of acute porphyria. One study reported that hyponatremia more often occurs with appropriate antidiuretic hormone levels (3).
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Urine and stool samples were most likely obtained before attacks. The diagnosis of acute porphyria would have been confirmed by repeating the quantitation of urine porphobilinogen during an acute episode and finding elevated levels (2–5 times normal) of porphobilinogen. However, our patient did not have another acute episode in the hospital.

Diagnostic Algorithm

The biochemical distinction among the porphyrias resides with the measurement of porphyrins and their metabolites in urine and feces (9, 17, 22, 53–55). An algorithm for the diagnosis of porphyrias is based on an understanding of which porphyrin precursors to expect in urine or stool samples when evaluating for acute porphyria (Figure 1). Porphyrin intermediates in the first half of the heme biosynthetic pathway (porphobilinogen, aminolevulinic acid, and uroporphyrin) are water soluble and fat insoluble. Thus, excessive excretions occur in urine, not stool (5, 6). Terminal half porphyrin intermediates (coproporphyrin and protoporphyrin) are fat soluble and excreted through bile in the stool (1, 5, 6, 9). Because coproporphyrin is water soluble as well, it may also be present in the urine (5, 6).

In the acute setting, particularly when symptoms suggest an acute porphyric syndrome, the critical need is to make a diagnosis rapidly (32, 53). The precise type of acute porphyria is of secondary importance, because the basic principles of management of the acute attack are the same, regardless of the specific diagnosis (Figure 2) (32). Acute attacks for all four acute porphyrias are invariably associated with increased urinary excretion of aminolevulinic acid or porphobilinogen or both (4, 8, 15, 21, 31, 32, 36, 55–57). Therefore, some clinicians use qualitative rapid screening tests for porphobilinogen like the Watson-Schwartz test or the Hoesch test. Results of these tests are positive in most acute attacks, but the tests are unreliable (4, 32, 36, 53). These screening methods have a sensitivity of only 40%–69%, and the sensitivity is even lower (28%–53%) when the urine samples are concentrated. It is now recommended that Watson-Schwartz screening tests be abandoned and, ideally, all urine samples be analyzed by quantitative methods (33, 36, 42, 57). Measuring the 24-hour urinary excretion of porphobilinogen and aminolevulinic acid during a symptomatic period is the most

Epidemiology

The epidemiology of acute porphyria is complicated and probably faulty because of its rarity. In general, the prevalence of porphyria varies from country to country, as do the types of porphyria (1, 4, 34, 35). Because the disease has variable expression, the estimated prevalence of gene carriers for acute intermittent porphyria in the general population of the United States is 1–2 per 10,000 people, with clinical disease manifesting in approximately 10% of these carriers (1, 7, 31, 34, 35, 37).

Some authors report that acute porphyria is more common in patients with psychiatric illness than in the general population (7, 34, 37, 45). This is not surprising because of the similar phenotypic presentations of general psychiatric illness and porphyria, as was the case with our patient. These authors evaluated patients who had psychiatric diagnoses (most with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or atypical psychosis with neuropsychiatric impairment) and reported a point prevalence for acute intermittent porphyria between 0.21% and 0.48% (1, 34). These estimates seem to represent an increased prevalence in both latent and manifest porphyria in psychiatric populations, although comparison groups are lacking. A limitation of earlier studies of both healthy and psychiatric populations is that they used only one test, the Watson-Schwartz test, which is now known to have low sensitivity (1, 42), thus underestimating the prevalence of this disorder.

Recovery of mental function often lags behind physical recovery, and some patients report functional disturbances indefinitely (3). This seems to have been the case in our patient, whose functional symptoms persisted.

Workup and Diagnosis

Most routine investigations may offer information but are not helpful in making a diagnosis, including a diagnosis of porphyria (1). For example, with our patient, abnormal EMG findings; nonspecific cranial MRI, SPECT, and EEG findings; and recurrent episodes of hyponatremia were not pathognomonic for a particular disorder.

Urine and stool samples were most likely obtained between attacks. The diagnosis of acute porphyria would have been confirmed by repeating the quantitation of urine porphobilinogen during an acute episode and finding elevated levels (2–5 times normal) of porphobilinogen. However, our patient did not have another acute episode in the hospital.
If levels of urine porphobilinogen or aminolevulinic acid or both are increased, diagnosis of an acute porphyria attack can be made (1, 32, 34, 36, 43). When urine porphobilinogen is elevated more than 2 to 5 times its normal limits, an acute attack of acute intermittent porphyria, variegate porphyria, or hereditary coproporphyria should be suspected (6, 58). During an acute attack, acute intermittent porphyria is distinguished from variegate porphyria or hereditary coproporphyria by normal or near-normal levels of fecal porphyrin (42, 53). Reduced activity of erythrocyte porphobilinogen deaminase further supports the diagnosis of acute intermittent porphyria (4, 5, 53). The rare exception in which urine aminolevulinic acid but not porphobilinogen is increased is plumboporphyria (1, 32, 36), which is characterized by severe deficiency of hepatic 5-aminolevulinic acid dehydrase. Patients with hereditary tyrosinemia (type I tyrosinemia) or lead poisoning may also present with an elevation of only urinary aminolevulinic acid (1, 6, 32).

If levels of urine porphobilinogen and aminolevulinic acid are normal, the physician can be reassured that the patient is not having an acute attack of porphyria. The patient may still have porphyria, however, with symptoms and signs due to another cause (53), or the quantitation may have been performed between attacks (40, 59). If the patient's clinical history suggests an acute porphyrin syndrome, fecal porphyrin subtyping and quantitation can confirm its presence and distinguish among acute intermittent porphyria, variegate porphyria, and hereditary coproporphyria subtypes (4, 40, 42, 53, 60).

Variegate porphyria and hereditary coproporphyria, like acute intermittent porphyria, have decreased porphobilinogen deaminase activity and resultant increased levels of urinary porphobilinogen during acute attacks (1, 5–7, 40, 47). However, variegate porphyria is specifically distinguished by a deficiency of protoporphyrinogen oxidase, which leads to accumulation of both protoporphyrinogen and protoporphyrin in bile and feces (1, 2, 5, 7, 23, 31, 40–42, 47, 53, 61). In variegate porphyria, the preponderant stool porphyrin is protoporphyrin (6, 40, 62), with concentrations ranging from 3 to 7 times the normal value (53). Hereditary coproporphyria also has a distinct stool porphyrin pattern. Defective protoporphyrinogen oxidase leads to accumulation of coproporphyrin in both stool and urine (1, 2, 5–7, 31, 42, 47, 53). In hereditary coproporphyria, the concentration of stool coproporphyrinogen is at least 5 times normal. In our patient, concentrations of urine porphyrins were within normal limits, ruling out an acute attack (Table 3). However, the concentration of his stool protoporphyrin was three times normal, suggesting the diagnosis of variegate porphyria (Table 4).

False negative results of urine porphyrin quantitation may be caused by a time lag between sample collection and testing (13, 35). Since porphyrins are light sensitive, specimens must be stored in the dark and tested as soon as possible. When exposed to normal lighting, urine porphyrin concentration is reduced by 50% in 24 hours (1).

In summary, increased urinary excretion of porphobilinogen or aminolevulinic acid (or both) confirms an acute attack of one of the four acute porphyrinas (5–7, 13, 34, 36, 40, 42, 53, 56). Between attacks of the neuroporphyrinas (acute intermittent porphyria and plumboporphyria), concentrations of urine porphobilinogen and aminolevulinic acid can remain elevated (53, 56) or decrease below detection limits (1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 42, 56). If the latter occurs, either diagnosis becomes particularly difficult to make because in neuroporphyrinas, concentrations of stool porphyrins remain normal both during and between acute attacks (5–7, 13, 53, 56). In the neurocutaneous porphyrinas (variegate porphyria and hereditary coproporphyria), the situation is less complicated: levels of urine porphobilinogen and aminolevulinic acid are often normal between attacks, but levels of stool porphyrins are always increased, both during and between attacks (1, 3, 6, 7, 13, 34, 40, 42, 53, 62, 63).

**Treatment and Prophylaxis**

Management strategies in acute episodes of porphyria are limited, and therapy is mainly supportive (2, 5, 7, 28, 37). Treatment mainstays include identifying and limiting possible precipitants, maintaining adequate intake of carbohydrates and fluids, and administering haem arginate (3–5, 7, 15, 16, 28, 29, 31, 34, 35, 43, 46, 48, 50, 64). Complications of the disease must also be managed with appropriate subspecialty consultation (5–7, 15, 28, 34, 43, 46).

Perturbations in both the external and internal environments are believed to greatly influence acute porphyria expression (2–4, 6, 10, 21, 22, 30). Environmental factors such as prescribed or illicit drugs, chemicals, a low-carbohydrate and low-calorie diet, dehydration, infection, psychologic stress, excessive alcohol intake, cigarette smoking, variations in levels of physiologic hormones (such as during menstrual cycling), sex hormone treatments, and sun exposure may precipitate acute attacks (2–4, 6, 11, 13, 15, 18, 20, 21, 30, 31, 34, 37, 46, 60, 65). Some authors deny the importance of stress, infection, and fasting (1, 5); however, we believe that our patient's low caloric intake, excessive smoking and caffeine use, significant work stress, and use of multiple psychotropic medications contributed to the clinical expression of the disease. In women, relapses are more likely before menstruation (associated with the late luteal phase of the menstrual cycle) and during pregnancy (2, 4, 5, 8, 30, 34, 37).

Medications can trigger or exacerbate acute attacks (9, 30, 34, 43, 49, 50, 65). Unfortunately, information for most drugs is insufficient to allow them to be classified as definitely harmful or safe, even as other drugs have been labeled harmful on the basis of inadequate evidence (4, 6, 30, 46). Little information exists about the safety of many psychotropic drugs, especially the newer antidepressants and atypical antipsychotics (30). A MEDLINE search from the 1960s to the present shed no light on medications that could have triggered acute porphyria attacks in our pa-
tient. We found no relevant information on any of his medications, including nefazodone, sertraline, valproic acid, perphenazine, venlafaxine, and olanzapine.

Hematin—most typically in the form of haem arginate—is the only form of heme currently approved for use in the United States during acute attacks (2, 4–7, 15, 18, 29, 32, 34). By negative feedback, heme suppresses aminolevulinic acid synthase, the rate-limiting enzyme in the heme biosynthetic pathway, with a resultant dramatic decline in porphyrin production (4, 5, 66, 67). Within hours of heme administration, the overproduction and overexcretion of aminolevulinic acid and porphobilinogen are normalized. Within 2 to 4 days, most patients with acute porphyria show clinical improvement (4, 5, 32, 66). As inhibitors of heme oxygenase, tin and zinc metalloporphyrins are alternatives to hematin. They have been shown to prolong remission when given with haem arginate (1, 4, 6, 24, 32). The metalloporphyrins’ side effects of cutaneous photosensitivity and potential toxicity limit their use; however, further evaluation is continuing (4). Our patient did not receive treatment with either hematin or metalloporphyrins because he did not have an acute attack at our medical center.

**Genetics**

Most of the porphyrias are inherited (2–4, 6, 10, 21, 30). Acute intermittent porphyria, variegate porphyria, and hereditary coproporphyria are inherited as autosomal dominant conditions with low penetrance (5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 18, 21, 31, 34, 35, 37, 38, 42–45). Therefore, 80%–90% of patients who have inherited the enzyme deficiency never have symptomatic disease and are considered to be “latent porphyrinics” (1, 6, 8, 13, 30, 31, 34, 50). Only 10%–15% of the gene carriers have the clinical syndrome. One-third of patients have no family history, the condition probably having remained latent (inactive) or unidentified for several generations (4, 14, 34, 54). The autosomal recessive porphyrias (plumboporphyria and the homozygous counterparts of variegate porphyria and hereditary coproporphyria) are even rarer disorders than the autosomal dominant acute porphyrias (5, 7, 10, 31). The homozygous forms are often associated with more florid and severe clinical features (1, 10). Sequence analyses of the genes for all enzymes required for heme biosynthesis have revealed the porphyrias as highly heterogeneous, with multiple mutations underlying each type (5, 7, 10, 11, 23, 26, 31). For example, more than 120 mutations have been described for porphobilinogen deaminase (also called hydroxymethylbilane synthase or, in older literature, uroporphyrinogen I synthase) (31, 45), the enzyme involved in acute intermittent porphyria (20, 31). Therefore, DNA analysis is not yet of routine diagnostic value (4). It could provide a precise diagnosis of some of the porphyrias if the patient has one of the previously described point mutations, but this technique is currently confined to a few research laboratories (53, 54).

The only way to distinguish among the acute porphyrias is through biochemical analysis of urine and stool. Typically, patients with the autosomal dominant varieties present initially in adulthood, and those with homozygous variants present in early childhood. Symptomatic porphyria is thought to be more common in female than male patients (1, 2–4, 7, 10, 31, 34), with a female-male ratio of 5 to 1 (1, 4, 7, 10, 34).

**Other Diagnostic Methods**

Enzyme assays in erythrocytes or other cells or tissues are helpful in supporting the diagnosis but are best used to identify family members with latent disease (6, 7, 14, 22, 32, 62). The demonstration of decreased cellular enzyme activity proves the presence of the genetic defect but by itself is insufficient for implicating porphyria as the cause of a particular patient’s symptoms (1, 6, 14). Enzyme tests are often technically difficult and require tissues such as cultured fibroblasts, lymphocytes, or liver biopsy material; therefore, except for the use of erythrocyte porphobilinogen deaminase activity to confirm the diagnosis of acute intermittent porphyria, they are rarely used (32, 53, 54).

Plasma peak fluorescence is used with fecal porphyrin quantification for diagnosis of variegate porphyria (4, 32, 54, 68, 69). Plasma fluorescence emission scanning in variegate porphyria shows a characteristic peak between 621 and 628 nm (32, 53, 54, 68–71).

**Conclusions**

In retrospect, our patient’s clinical manifestations were strikingly similar to what is documented in the literature about the disease. He presented with a combination of neuropsychiatric and medical symptoms, all of which fit a diagnosis of porphyria but all of which are nonspecific (1). Diagnosis should be based on a high degree of awareness. In the present case, the diagnosis was entertained because of the following: 1) the etiologically obscure neurosis or psychosis after an extensive workup, 2) unexplained peripheral neuropathy, 3) indeterminate neurologic spells, 4) hyponatremia of unknown cause, and 5) a confusing constellation of symptoms. Results from quantitative tests for porphyrins in both urine and stool performed earlier may have proven valuable in making a diagnosis sooner.
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